There is a good chance this will happen as Canada is far ahead of America in decentralized media and a scan on a different kind of survival information. However, Dr. Bateson, whose article appears on page three, wrote to us to say that while he had no objection to his piece running under a Xerox mark, that would probably be cheaper to lose. More Radical Software than to reprint huge sections for widespread redistribution.

Since issue one we've seen excerpts from Radical Software offset in a number of different places, all of which were themselves offering one kind or another of survival information.

However, Dr. Bateson pointed out that if he didn't copyright his article when it appeared in print, someone else could. And then they could paraphrase it, so that the offer still stands—with added protection. Anything you see in this issue which does not have a specific copyright is covered by the following statement:

1. Even if no copyright notice appears, you are granted permission to reproduce these articles in the following cases:
   a. For educational purposes
   b. For personal use
   c. For non-commercial or personal use. All other applications or alterations only with author's permission
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So that means we must recoup $3870 on production expenses plus another $50 or so per month for Radical Software's share of our (Raindance's) loft overhead and maintenance (about 4%). Figuring one issue every three months, that's another $150.

In other words, our total expenses, not counting salaries, were approximately $1370 for this issue. With the grant to defray costs that drops to $1170. But Radical Software will have been defeated if it must rely on charity (two to six we're not thankful for the grant, we see it as ultimately more than a one-time windfall only if it's self-sustaining we will have succeeded as a total information resource.

So we figure we can make back the following on this issue:

On this basis a third will be distributed by us (we have 750 subscribers, the rest for single mail orders). Our mailing and packaging expenses run $2.50 a copy (25c postage, 4c envelope). Thus, out of the $5.50 selling price we net $0.50. $1.50 net profit. More, $2.50 again not counting salaries or overhead. The rest of our run, assuming it's all sold, will be consumed through distribu-

So that's a total of $385 not to us from both second party and our own distribu-

it's hard to say what salaries are because we don't think that way. But figure from the above break-even point at $100 a week (the structure based on the grant) and you get $300 for this issue. Plus, if conditions are optimal we can net approximately $3250 for this grant. With the grant that comes to $5850 ap-

However, we have a deficit covering nine months for the last two issues during which the only material expenses were returned to Radical Software, no over-

So, in short, assuming grant money and no demands for back salaries we're just about breaking even if this issue goes well.